

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(213)

CWP-20025-2016

Date of decision: - 10.05.2023

Vinita Pippal and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Chobey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Daldeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to initiate appropriate disciplinary action and to get criminal case registered against respondent No.5.

2. Learned State counsel has pointed out that as per the short reply dated 06.04.2022 filed by respondents No.1 and 2, it has been stated that the necessary action against respondent No.5 has already been taken and No Confidence Motion has been passed against her on 19.10.2016 and thereafter, petitioner No.1 has been elected as the President in a special meeting held on 15.11.2016. It is submitted that it has been specifically mentioned in para No.4 of the said reply that the

Administrator was appointed on 19.03.2018 due to completion of the tenure of Municipal Council, Rewari and thereafter, fresh election of Municipal Council, Rewari and its President had been conducted on 01.01.2021 and Smt. Poonam Satija had been elected as the President of Municipal Council, Rewari and her name was notified by the State Election Commission, Haryana.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the above-said reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

May 10, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No