

2023:PHHC:114615

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-33217-2023

Date of Decision: 1st September, 2023

Azharuddin

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Pranjal P Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the private respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed seeking directions to respondents No. 2 to 4 to register an FIR against respondents No. 5 to 14.
2. The grievance raised is that the complaint dated 29.5.2023 was not being considered by official respondents.
3. Status report dated 26.8.2023 filed by learned State Counsel is taken on record. Copy supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner. As per the pleadings in the status report, complaints dated 29.5.2023 and 6.6.2023 were considered by SHO, Police Station Sector-8, Faridabad and Assistant Commissioner of Police, Crime, Faridabad respectively. The allegations were found false and the complaints were recommended to be consigned to the record.
4. In view of the reply filed and realizing that petitioner is already availing remedy by way of contempt petition for violation of

guidelines issued by the Supreme Court in **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and Others, 2008(1) RCR (Criminal) 392 and M. Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another, 2020(2) AICLR 42**, counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the petition, however seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

5. Dismissed as not pressed with liberty as prayed for.
6. Since the main case has been dismissed as not pressed the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

1st September, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No