

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14691-2018

Date of decision: 30.05.2023

Amar Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Vishal Savda, Advocate for
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana
for all the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 01.05.2018 (Annexure P-11) passed by BDPO vide which recovery of Rs.59,13,643/- is sought.
2. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the present order is appealable under Section 53 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, before the Deputy Commissioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present Civil Writ Petition with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternative remedy, in

accordance with law. It is further submitted that in case, the petitioner files the said appeal within a period of one month from today then the same may not be dismissed solely on the ground of limitation as the petitioner was pursuing the present writ petition.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternative remedy in accordance with law. In case, the said appeal is filed by the petitioner within a period of one month from today then the same would not be dismissed solely on the ground of limitation.

30.05.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No