

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203 CRM-M-35310-2022
Date of Decision: 11.08.2023

Satnam Singh @ Sonu Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
101	10.04.2021	Patran, District Patiala	15 of NDPS Act (29 NDPS Act added later on)

The petitioner incarcerated in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court under Section 439 CrPC seeking bail.

- Counsel for the petitioner seeks bail on the grounds of long pre-trial incarceration.
- Custody certificate dated 11.08.2023 filed by State counsel today in the Court is taken on record. State counsel submits that the petitioner is not entitled to bail as per the judgment of Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dheeraj Kumar Shukla v. The State of Uttar Pradesh [SLP (Crl) 6690-2022]*, decided on 25 Jan 2023 because as per custody certificate, his custody is 02 years and 04 months and not 02 years and 06 months.
- At this stage, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh petition with same power of attorney after completing 02 years and 06 months of custody.
- Given above, the present petition stands disposed of having been withdrawn. Since petitioner-Satnam Singh @ Sonu has already given power of attorney to

his counsel in this petition, as such, Registry shall not insist to file power of attorney in the fresh petition, if so filed. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

11.08.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No