

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(273)

CWP-14526-2018

Date of decision: - 17.01.2023

Karanjeet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
and Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

None for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-Board to make correction in the names of the petitioner as well as parents of the petitioner in the Educational certificate-DMC and certificate of 10th class of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the entire issue with respect to changes/corrections to be made in the certificate issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education has been adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a detailed judgment passed in case titled as “*Jigyada Yadav (minor) (through Guardian/father Hari Singh)*”

Vs. CBSE (Central Board of Secondary Education) and others, and

other connected matters reported as **2021(7) SCC 535** by a three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has prayed that the petitioner be permitted to file representation keeping in view the parameters laid down in the abovesaid judgment and prays that the respondents be directed to consider the said representation and pass a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date the said representation is received by the respondents.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of CBSE has stated that they have no objection to the said course of action.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- 1) The petitioner is granted liberty to file a detailed representation and annex all the documents in light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jigya Yadav (minor)'s case*** (Supra).
- 2) The respondents are directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation, in accordance with law.

January 17, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No