

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112 and 114

2023:PHHC:099169-DB

CWP Nos. 14414 and 16550 of 2023

Date of Decision: 02.08.2023

Sultan Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate,
and Ms. Vaishali Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for HSVP.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Claim of the petitioners, in the present writ petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is that they are allottees of Plot Nos.1365 and 1366 measuring 8 marlas in Sector 6, Jhajjar vide allotment letters dated 03.10.2011 (Annexure P-1). Their grouse is that in spite of having the allotment letters and offer of possession in their favour, they are not able to complete construction on account of the fact that there are high tension wires passing over the plots. Reference is also made to the certificate dated 29.04.2022 (Annexure P-4) issued in case of Sultan Singh whereby the Estate Officer HSVP has confirmed the fact that the plot is affected by 132 K.V. High Tension Wire passing over the plot and the fact that the allottee had been asked to apply online for an alternative plot. Apparently, in the case of Raghubir Singh, on the other hand, it is his case that he has even paid the extension fees and has sought the relief of refund of the said amount as the

said amount was not payable as construction cannot be raised on account of the said electricity lines passing over the plots. The petitioners have also filed representations for allotment of alternate plots on 09.06.2013 and 06.06.2023 (Annexure P-6, respectively in both the cases).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of HSVP.

Keeping in view that the decision making on the above said representations for allotment of alternate plots is still pending, we do not wish to call for the reply as it would only delay the proceedings.

Resultantly, the present writ petitions are disposed of by issuing directions to respondent No.2 to consider the above said representations within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order as to how the petitioners' grievances can be redressed in view of the policies which are in force and a reasoned order be passed and communicated to the petitioners.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

02.08.2023
shivani

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No