

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-33336-2020

Date of Decision.:29.05.2023

Mam Chand

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 to 12.

None for respondent No.13 - complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Again there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

It is despite the fact that, learned counsel for the petitioner has been duly intimated through his e-mail, as reported by the registry.

It appears that petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present petition.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

May 29, 2023

Neetika Tuteja

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No