

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(201)

CWP-2140-2014

Date of decision: - 16.12.2023

Kiran Bala**....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Ms. Amarjit Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. Pankaj Maini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Muthreja, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to grant the revised family pension to the petitioner on the retiral benefits. Other prayers have also been made.

2. On 06.02.2015 and 25.05.2015 none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Today, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that she is not in touch with the petitioner and there is likelihood that the present writ petition would have been rendered infructuous and has, thus, prayed that the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but however, liberty be granted to the petitioner for revival of the present writ petition in case any cause survives.

3. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the present writ petition or to file a fresh one in case any cause survives.

December 16, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No