

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-32757-2023
Date of decision: 06.09.2023

Gurwinder Singh ..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma Vashisht, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

1. On 24.07.2023, this Court had passed the following order:-

“XX XX

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.133 dated 15.06.2022, registered under Section 304 IPC at Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel contends that the injuries attributed to the petitioner, as per the post-mortem report are on the non-vital parts i.e. leg and shoulder, however, the death had actually occurred on account of the deceased having received internal injuries by falling in his own house. He alleges false implication and submits that petitioner is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join investigation as and when required by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 31.07.2023. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of the conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 06.09.2023.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurnek Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 24.07.2023 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

06.09.2023
ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No