

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(236)

CWP-19186-2019

Date of decision: - 24.03.2023

Dalbir Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. APS Nain, Advocate
for Mr. Ajay Singh Ghangas, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Ms. Kriti Lohar, Advocate
for Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ, especially in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondent No.2 to take action against the respondents No.4, Sarpanch Gram Panchayat Sururpur and for considering representation dated 09.10.2017 (Annexure P-1) submitted by the petitioners.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that as per paragraph No.3 of the reply, it has been specifically stated that the representation dated 09.10.2017 (Annexure P-1) has been decided and filed by respondent No.2 vide letter dated 13.10.2020 and the Block Development

Officer has submitted his report, in which, the allegations levelled against the Sarpanch in the representation have been found to be true. It is further submitted that the tenure of the respondent No.5 has also expired.

Paragraph 3 of the said reply is reproduced herein below: -

*3. That the representation dated 09.10.2017 (Annexure P-1) has been decided and filed by respondent No.2 vide letter no.4009-11/Panchayat dated 13.10.2020 (copy attached as **Annexure R3/1**). It is submitted that as per above order dated 20.08.2020 passed by respondent No.2, the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Faridabad submitted her report vide letter no.1919 dated 17.06.2020 (copy attached as **Annexure R3/2**) according to which the allegations leveled against the Sarpanch in point No.1 to 17 of the representation have not been found true.'*

Learned State counsel has submitted that in view of the same, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Neither the said fact has been rebutted by the petitioner nor any replication has been filed to the written statement.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

March 24, 2023

naresh.k/sapna

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No