

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32891-2023
Date of Decision:-17.08.2023

RAMANDEEP KAUR
... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB
... RESPONDENT

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

-.-

Present:- Mr. G.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, AAG, Haryana

-.-

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in a case having FIR No.0050 dated 07.03.2023 registered under Sections 384, 506 IPC at Police Station Samrala, Khanna.
2. Custody certificate of the applicant-appellant has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record.
2. The brief facts of the case are that complainant-Jatinderpal Singh lodged complaint to the police that he and petitioner-Ramandeep Kaur were working at Toll Plaza and they got friendly and that complainant purchased one scooty from the petitioner and paid Rs.10,000/- to her and also gave guarantee. That the complainant also gave gold chain to

the accused and thereafter the present petitioner failed to return the aforesaid amount and gold chain and rather she started giving threats to the complainant and also demanded a sum of Rs.50,000/- from him. The petitioner was arrested in this case on 09.03.2023. The counsel *inter alia* submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and at present he is in judicial custody and after completion of the investigation the police has presented the challan but the charges are yet to be framed and thereafter it will take considerable time for the trial to conclude and that offences are triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class. Prayer is made that the petitioner who is having no criminal history may be released on bail.

3. The present petition is resisted by the State Counsel who submits that there are specific allegations against the petitioner.
4. Heard.
5. The State counsel on instructions from ASI Rajender Singh has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 09.03.2023 and is having no criminal history and on completion of investigation, challan is already presented against the accused persons by the police but the trial is yet to be commenced.
6. In view of the above, as all the offences are triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class and it will take considerable time to the trial to culminate, no useful purpose is going to be served by detaining the petitioner in custody.

4. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

17.08.2023
manoj

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No