

125

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32635-2023

Date of decision : 13.12.2023

MOHD. GULFAM

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Anjum Ahmed, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhishek Pundir, Advocate  
for respondent No.2

**KULDEEP TIWARI.J. (ORAL)**

1. The instant petition is filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C, for quashing of FIR No.252 dated 08.09.2021, under Sections 279, 336, 337 and 338 of IPC, and under Sections 192 and 196, 3/181 of the Motor Vehicle Act, 1954, registered at Police Station Chhapar, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar, (Annexure P-1), and the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Upon an affirmative response from the learned counsel for the respondent No.2 /complainant qua the compromise (Annexure P-2), a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had, through an order drawn on 08.11.2023 upon the instant petition, directed the parties to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned, for getting their respective statements recorded qua authenticity of the

compromise (Annexure P-2). Moreover, the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate concerned was also directed to send a report in the above regard.

3. Consequent to the making of the directions (supra), the parties appeared before the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, and got their respective statements recorded, thereby authenticating the compromise (Annexure P-2). Accordingly, in compliance of the directions (supra) of this Court, a Report bearing No.592 dated 21.11.2023 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, wherein, a satisfaction has been recorded by the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, qua the compromise (supra) being drawn in a genuine and voluntary manner, without any coercion or undue influence.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

5. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in **CRM-M-25669-2020 (O&M)**, titled **“Abhishek Singh & others V/s State of Punjab & others”**, **Pronounced on: 07.04.2022**, while granting a similar relief, as craved in the instant petition, has observed as under:-

*“9. The following aspects would be relevant to conclude this petition:-*

*a) The accused and the private respondents have amicably settled the matter between them in terms of the compromise deed and the statements recorded before the concerned Court;*

*b) A perusal of the documents reveal that the settlement has not been secured through coercion, threats, social boycotts, bribes, or other dubious means;*

*c) The victim has willingly consented to the nullification of criminal proceedings;*

*d) There is no objection from the private respondents in case present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed;*

- e) In the given facts, the occurrence does not affect public peace or tranquillity, moral turpitude or harm the social and moral fabric of the society or involve matters concerning public policy;*
- f) The rejection of compromise may also lead to ill will. The pendency of trial affects career and happiness;*
- g) There is nothing on the record to prima facie consider the accused as an unscrupulous, incorrigible, or professional offender;*
- h) The purpose of criminal jurisprudence is reformatory in nature and to work to bring peace to family, community, and society;*
- i) The exercise of the inherent power for quashing the conviction, sentence and all previous proceedings is justified to secure the ends of justice.”*

6. In the light of the hereinabove recorded aspects and considering the fact that the offences, for which the petitioners/accused have been charged, are not grave in nature, as also in view of the law laid down in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the present petition for quashing the FIR (supra) is hereby allowed.

7. Resultantly, FIR No.252 dated 08.09.2021, under Sections 279, 336, 337 and 338 of IPC, and Section 192 and 196, 3/181 Motor Vehicle Act, 1954, registered at Police Station Chhapar, Yamuna Nagar District Police Yamuna Nagar, (Annexure P-1), and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed, on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

( KULDEEP TIWARI )  
JUDGE

13.12.2023  
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned ? Yes/No  
Whether Reportable ? Yes/No