

297 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38388-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision :24.04.2023

VISHNU ALIAS VISHI ...Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Neha Lakhanpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Singla, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned Senior counsel assisted by Ms. Neha Lakhanpal, Advocate refers to the statement of PW2 Raj Kumar Sub Inspector (Annexure P/10) to contend that a perusal of the same reveals that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case.

[2] Learned AAG on the other hand vehemently contends that 82 kgs of *ganja* were recovered from the car parked in the courtyard of the petitioner's house and the mother and grand-mother of the petitioner had not denied about knowledge of the car but merely stated that they were not having the keys of the car.

[3] Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it prejudices the claim of either side, the instant petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to move a fresh application for bail before the learned trial Court by taking all pleas as are available to him on the

CRM-M-38388-2021 (O&M)

[2]

basis of statement of prosecution witnesses as has been placed by him on record by way of CRM No.47929 of 2022 in CRM-M No.38388 of 2021 i.e. statement of prosecution witnesses recorded by the learned trial Court after the dismissal of earlier petition filed by the petitioner before this Court. In case any such petition is moved, learned trial Court is requested to consider and decide the same in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

24.04.2023
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No