

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

**CRM-M-34613-2022
Decided on : 18.05.2023**

Karaj Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

1. The present petition has been filed praying for directions to respondent No. 2 to direct respondent No. 3 to register a case against respondents No. 4 to 6.
2. None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner in this case on the last date of hearing. Same is the position even today.
3. Learned State counsel makes a reference to reply dated 09.03.2023 filed by way of affidavit of Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), in specific to para 5 and 6 thereof which read thus:-

“5. That the Deputy Superintendent of Police (Crime Against Property), Amritsar (Rural) has submitted a detailed report in the office of the deponent reporting therein that the enquiry on the allegations of the petitioner was conducted through Incharge, P.B.I Team No. 1, Crime Against Property, Amritsar (Rural), who had reported that the petitioner came in touch with an agent namely Harjeet Singh thorough respondent No. 4 to send his son to Canada but agent Harjeet Singh did not send his son to Canada, on which the petitioner moved an application in the office of Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Batala and a case FIR No. 228 dated 11.10.2018 under sections 420, 406, 465, 468 and 471 was registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Police District Batala against agent Harjit Singh. It was further reported that agent Harjit Singh had died and petitioner claims his money from respondent No. 4 but as the FIR No. 228 dated 11.10.2018 has already been registered in this matter, so new FIR cannot be registered and it was recommended to consign the application to the record room. The true translation of the aforesaid report is attached herewith as Annexure R-1/T.

6. That after carefully examining the findings of the aforesaid enquiry report, the predecessor of the deponent had ordered to consign the application (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner to the record room as no further action was required by the Police of Amritsar (Rural).”

4. In view of the aforesaid, no further orders are required to be passed and the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

18.05.2023
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No