

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.6741 of 2023
Date of Decision: 31.07.2023

Suresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. B.S. Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect his as well as his family members' lives and liberty, while averring that he apprehends threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 and he has further prayed for directing respondents No.2 & 3/the Investigating Officer to arrest the said private respondents in the criminal case registered at Police Station Tibba, District Ludhiana vide the FIR bearing No.0074 dated 22.04.2022 under Sections 341, 323, 354-B, 325 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC.

Mr. Virat Rana, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-

State in advance and on the instructions from ASI Pritam Pal Singh from the above-referred Police Station, he informs the Court that there is no threat perception regarding the lives and liberty of the petitioner and his family members and rather, the petitioner, his wife and two children, have also been arrayed as the accused in the cross-case, pertaining to the afore-said FIR, as registered at the same Police Station, vide DDR No.28 dated 22.08.2022, under Sections 458, 323 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC and he further apprises the Court that respondents No.4 to 6 have already been arrested in the criminal case arisen out of the above-mentioned FIR and have been granted the relief of regular bail by the Court below but during the investigation, respondent No.7 has been found to be innocent and the offence under Section 354-B IPC has also been dropped in the case under reference.

In view of the afore-discussed submission as made by learned State counsel in the Court today, it becomes explicit that the petition in hand has been rendered infructuous. Resultantly, the same stands disposed of accordingly.

July 31, 2023
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>