

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14055-2018

Date of decision : 21.03.2023

Balvir Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. B.S. Bali, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents authorities to decide and take action on the complaint (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner in a time bound manner.

Learned State Counsel has filed short reply dated 10.03.2023 on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 in the Court today and learned counsel for respondent No.3 has also filed reply on behalf of respondent No.3, both of which are taken on record. Both the counsel have jointly submitted that a detailed inquiry was conducted in the complaint given by the petitioner and as per the said detailed inquiry report which has been annexed as

Annexure R-2/1/T with the reply filed by the State, it has been found that there is no weight in the complaint of the petitioner and the said complaint has been given apparently on account of some personal grudge. It is further submitted that in view of the same, the present Civil Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous.

Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner in order to rebut the abovesaid argument.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is however granted to the petitioner to file an application for revival of the present case or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives to him.

21.03.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**