

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

**CRM-M-32621-2023  
Date of decision: 07.12.2023**

**NARINDER SINGH**

....Petitioner

**Versus**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER**

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

**KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)**

1. On 20.11.2023, the following order was passed:-

*“It is submitted by counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has already repaid the money, which was alleged to have been paid by the respondent No.2-complainant to him in the FIR. Not only that the petitioner was directed to pay another amount of T30,000/- to the complainant in another petition; as well. Therefore, as against the allegation of receiving an amount of T3.70 lacs, the petitioner has already paid an amount of T4 lacs to the complainant. The petitioner is ready to pay T20,000/- more, if the complainant agrees to get the present FIR quashed.*

*The counsel for the complainant, on instructions from the complainant, who is present in court, has not disputed the above said facts qua repayment of the amounts to the complainant. He has further submitted; on instructions from the complainant, that the complainant is agreed to quashing of FIR against the petitioner, if the above mentioned amount of T20,000/- is paid to him.*

*As response to this, the counsel for the petitioner seeks three*

*week's time to bring the draft of T20,000/- in the name of complainant, so that the matter can be finished.*

*Adjourned to 07.12.2023.*

*Respondent No.2-Jaswant Singh is directed to remain present in the court on the next date of hearing.*

*Interim order to continue.*

*To be shown in urgent list."*

2. In compliance of the above-said order, learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over a draft of Rs.20,000/- in the Court today, to the counsel for the complainant.
3. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that they have no objection in case, the present FIR is quashed, as the matter has been fully compromised.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the sole accused and the petitioner was never declared as proclaimed offender in the instant FIR.
5. Considering the fact that the matter has been compromised and the complainant has admitted the factum of compromise before this Court.
6. Accordingly, the instant FIR is quashed.

**07.12.2023**  
**amandeep**

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned. | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable.        | : | Yes/No |