

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(230)

CRM-M-32652-2023  
Date of decision:- 11.09.2023

Himanshu ...Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Tanvir S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Sukhpreet Singh Kang, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. This is the second petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking grant of post-arrest bail in:-

| FIR No. | Dated      | Police Station                                      | Sections                                                                                                                                                      |
|---------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 41      | 31.05.2022 | Women Police Station, Fatehabad, District Fatehabad | 376(2)(n), 376(2)(f), 384, 450, IPC and Sections 66E, 67(A) of the I.T. Act (later on Sections 464 and 474, IPC and Section 66(C) of the I.T. Act were added) |

2. Status report by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, (Traffic), Fatehabad, District Fatehabad has been filed on behalf of State-respondent, which is taken on record.

3. By making a reference to para 12 of the status report, State counsel submits that prosecution has concluded its evidence.

4. After addressing for some time, counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

11.09.2023 (SUVIR SEHGAL)  
Kamal JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/Reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |