

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 6661 of 2023

Date of decision: 07.07.2023

Savita Devi and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, DAG, Punjab, for respondents No. 1 to 3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty, as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 to 9.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, who are above 18 years of age, have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 04.07.2023 (Annexure P-4) sent by petitioner No. 1 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala (respondent No. 2).

4. Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

5. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

6. Heard. Keeping in view the mandate of the Supreme Court in

the case of Lata Singh vs. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala (respondent No. 2) to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

7. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

07.07.2023
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No