

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

254

**CWP-16995-2020
Date of Decision: 09.01.2023**

BHUPINDER KAUR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Tushar Madan, Advocate for
Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 and 3.

Ms. Sharmila Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel
for respondent No.2- U.O.I.

Ms. Roja Agnihotri, Advocate for
for respondents No.4 and 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 16.03.2020 (Annexure P-10) passed by respondent No.2 i.e. the National Commission for Scheduled Casts, Punjab.

Status report by way of affidavit of Mukhtiar Rai, PPS, Superintendent of Police Sub Division Phagwara has been filed today in Court.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5 submits that the present petition has become infructuous inasmuch as the respondents No.4 and 5 have already suffered their statements before the respondent No.2 i.e. the National Commission for Scheduled Casts, Punjab about their intent to not pursue their complaint before the said Authority. It is thus contended that since the respondents No.4 and 5 have withdrawn their complaint, there is no subsisting cause of action in the present petition.

The said assertion has also been incorporated by the State in its reply that has been filed today.

In view of the abovesaid statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5 as well as the learned State Counsel, it seems that there is no subsisting grievance with the petitioner.

The present petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition in case the statements of learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5 as well as of State counsel are found to be incorrect.

09.01.2023
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*