

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31903-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.09.2023

Anju Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. N.K. Verma, Advocate and
Mr. Ankush Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Viren Sibal, Advocate and
Mr. Satyam Sharda, Advocate and
Mr. Vrinda Pasricha, Advocate for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.14 dated 29.01.2023 registered under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division No.1, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

2. On 06.07.2023, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Inter alia contends that on 07.06.2022, Ex. MLA (Jalandhar North) had submitted representation to the Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar for conducting investigation regarding the misutilisation of Government funds in the name of various societies and in the inquiry which was conducted in pursuance of the above complaint, various persons including the deceased Sh. Sushil Kalia and his son were found to have misappropriated the Government funds and two FIRs were

registered in which the deceased was named as an accused. It is further submitted that on account of the same, the deceased was under depression. It is contended that the alleged suicide note is undated and even as per the said suicide note, in the last part of the suicide note, it has only been stated that Rs.20 lacs has been paid to Akash Sharma and the present petitioner on 22.08.2022 and this date is five months prior to the date of commission of suicide on 28.01.2023. It is further contended that even from the suicide note, prima facie, no offence under Section 306 read with Section 107 of IPC is made out against the petitioner. It is also submitted that co-accused of the petitioner namely Jai Mohindru had approached this Court by way of filing a petition for grant of anticipatory bail bearing No.CRM-M-31371-2023 and a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 30.06.2023 was pleased to issue notice of motion and granted interim protection to said Jai Mohindru. It is also contended that the petitioner is 54 years old lady who is not involved in any other case and the learned Vacation Judge, Jalandhar, while granting regular bail to husband of the petitioner has taken note of the fact that the prosecution had not denied the fact that the deceased along with his son was booked for embezzling Government money of Rs.10 lacs.

*Learned counsel for the petitioner has further relied upon judgment passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **State of Punjab Vs. Kamaljit Kaur @ Bholi and another** reported as **2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 562** and the judgment passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Gangula Mohan Reddy Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh** reported as **2010(1) SCC 750**, in support of his arguments.*

Notice of motion for 12.09.2023.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall

join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard along with CRM-M-31371-2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 06.07.2023, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Rakesh Kumar, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 06.07.2023 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 06.07.2023 is ordered to be made absolute.

6. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

12.09.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No