

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-4611 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Order: 07.08.2023

Dina Nath Bajaj

.Appellant

Versus

Pt. B.D.Sharma, University of Health and Sciences, Rohtak

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The appellant has been retired on attaining the age of 62 years. He claims two years extension on the ground that he is physically handicapped. Both the courts have held that the appellant was working in Pt.B.D.Sharma, University of Health and Sciences, Rohtak, which is an autonomous body. It has also been held that there is no conscious decision of the University to grant extension to the physically handicapped employees for a period of two years after attaining the age of 62 years.

2. At the time of arguments, the learned counsel representing the appellant submitted that the State Government has been issuing instructions from time to time and the University also sought clarifications regarding extension of two years.

3. This court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the appellant.

4. On a court question, the learned counsel representing the

appellant admits that there is no conscious decision of the University to grant extension for a period of two years to the physically handicapped employees after attaining the age of 62 years. The University is an autonomous body and the instructions unless adopted by the University are not applicable to the employees of the University.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussions, no ground to interfere is made out.

6. Dismissed.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 07, 2023

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:YES/NO

Whether reportable

:YES/NO