

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12932-2018

Date of decision : 31.01.2023

Antu Ram and others

..... Petitioners

versus

PSPCL and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sehaj Bir Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

Counsel appearing for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue stands concluded by the Apex Court in SLP No.32240 of 2011 (Annexure P-15). However, Mr. Singh submits that in view of R-1, petitioners were required to represent to the authorities to avail the benefit of P-15 which they have not till date.

2. Faced with the situation, Mr. Rana submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioners before this Court be ordered to be treated as representation and the respondents be directed to decide the same in the light of P-15 and the subsequent circulars/communications R-1 and R-2. Mr. Singh is not opposed to the prayer made by Mr. Rana.

3. In view of the aforesaid fact, the present writ petition is disposed off with the direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners as projected in the present writ petition in the light of law laid down in P-15 and any other subsequent circulars applicable. On doing

so, in case the petitioners are found entitled for any benefit, the same be released within a period of four weeks thereafter. In case the respondents find that the claim of the petitioners merits rejection, the same be decided by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

31.01.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No