

CWP No.12870 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.03.2023

Gurcharan Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

D.M.College, Moga & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- None for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Bhatia, Advocate for
Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate
for respondent No.1.Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Sehajbir S.Aulakh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

None for respondent No.4.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

On 01.03.2023 the following order was passed :-

*“On 11.10.2018, following order was passed:-**“On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, State of Punjab through Director, Higher Education, SAS Nagar, Punjab and Panjab University, Chandigarh through its Registrar are ordered to be impleaded as respondent Nos. 3 and 4.**Registry is directed to amend the memo of parties accordingly.**At the asking of the Court, Ms.Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3- State. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to the learned State counsel.**Notice to newly added respondent No. 4 be issued for 22.2.2019.**Let a specific response be filed by respondent No.1 and the State of Punjab as to why respondent No.2 be not allowed to join in the meantime, so that the studies of the students may not suffer.**At this stage, learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that the appointment letter dated 30.5.2018 (Annexure R-2/2) issued in pursuance of the selection will become invalid after 14.10.2018. It is clarified that the offer of appointment given to respondent No.2 shall remain valid during the pendency of the present writ petition.”*

Thereafter, counsel for the petitioner has opted not to appear, but on 27.05.2019.

*Adjourned to **10.03.2023**.*

Copy of the order be served upon counsel representing the petitioner.

It is made clear that irrespective of his appearance, the matter will be heard on merits.”

Pursuant to the said order as per the office report, email has been sent to the learned counsel representing the petitioner yet none has opted to appear on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is no more interested in pursuing the matter.

Resultantly, the present writ petition is dismissed for non- prosecution.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

10.03.2023

Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No