

218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33954-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 17, 2023

SATISH MIDHA

....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vikram Chaudhary, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Keshavam Chaudhari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court should make the interim order dated 25.08.2022 absolute and he would not have objection to stringent conditions in addition to the conditions which have already imposed.

The State objects to the petition on the ground that as per preliminary investigation, the petitioner who is a Doctor was running an illegal and unauthorized de-addiction centre.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a Doctor aged 72 years and his intent was to de-addict the drug-dependants.

Furthermore, he has no objection to imposition of any further conditions.

Given above the interim order dated 25.08.2022 is made absolute subject to two following conditions to which the petitioner's counsel has no objection:-

1. Within fifteen days from today, the petitioner shall procure a smartphone and inform its IMEI number and other details to the SHO/I.O. of the Police station mentioned before. The petitioner shall always keep the phone location/GPS on the "ON" mode. Whenever the Investigating officer asks to share the location, the petitioner shall immediately do so. The petitioner shall neither clear the location history, WhatsApp chats, calls nor

format the phone without permission of the concerned SHO/I.O.
This condition shall continue till the completion of the trial or closure of
case, whatever is earlier.

8. Given the nature of the allegations and the other circumstances
peculiar to this case, the petitioner shall surrender all weapons, firearms,
ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority
within fifteen days from today and inform the Investigator about the
compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner
shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case,
provided otherwise permissible in the concerned rules.

Petition allowed in aforesaid terms. Interim order is made
absolute subject to strict compliance of all terms and conditions. All pending
applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

17.01.2023

Sangeeta

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No