

2023:PHHC:073469

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18005-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.05.2023**

Bir Partap Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. D.S. Khalon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pankaj Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 7.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

The matter relates to the fall out of unfortunate demise of Janak Raj, father of the petitioner.

2. The petitioner claims appointment on compassionate basis. Earlier there was a policy of 2004 as per which solatium was payable. However, counsels are *ad idem* that now respondent-Corporation has come up with a fresh scheme for compassionate appointment to the wards of deceased employees of PSEB (now PSPCL) expired before 16.04.2010, who have earlier been covered under the solatium policy and in terms thereof subject to certain conditions. An option is being given to opt either for appointment or for solatium. Admittedly, the father of the petitioner died prior to 16.04.2010 and accordingly, the petitioner has approached the Corporation for necessary relief which as

2023:PHHC:073469

per Mr. Bhardwaj is under active consideration and would be decided within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed off, with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner within the time stipulated hereinabove.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

16.05.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No