

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-14010-2023

Date of Decision: 05.07.2023

Daya Nand

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner at the time of hearing confined his prayer to direct the respondents to decide his representation dated 01.05.2023 seeking attachment of the petitioner at Jalandhar instead of Gwalior-Unit.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that place of posting of the petitioner is Gwalior and on the request of the petitioner, the respondent had attached the petitioner to Jalandhar Unit till 30.06.2023. The order of posting at Jalandhar has been re-called and he has been asked to join at Gwalior. The petitioner has made representation dated 01.05.2023 which has not been redressed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that place of posting is not right of an employee and it is discretion of the employer to post an employee as per requirement, however, respondent-authority would look into the representation of the petitioner and pass an order within three weeks.

Without commenting upon merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner within three weeks from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

05.07.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No