

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.32427 of 2023
Date of decision: 14th September, 2023

Asha Rani & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Yashasvi Kapila, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Add. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.
Mr. Adhiraj Bhandari, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.0053 dated 18.03.2021 under Sections 323, 324, 355, 148, 149 and 506 of the IPC (Section 326 of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar, District Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.05.2021 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 07.07.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 14.08.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Addl. Chidef Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the

parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Addl. Chidef Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ‘**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**’ (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ‘**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 14, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No