

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(220)

CWP-14123-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 24.02.2023

Pritam and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Deputy Commissioner Gurugram and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Padam Kant Dwivedi, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to grant licence to the petitioners for running their meat shops under Haryana Municipal (Regulation of Sale of Meat) Byelaws, 1976 (Annexure P-1) in pursuance of the applications submitted by the petitioners on 15.05.2017 (Annexures P-10 to P-14) alongwith requisite fee.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied in case a final decision is taken by

respondents No.2 and 3 on their applications dated 15.05.2017 (Annexure P-10 to P-14) in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 has submitted that they would consider the said applications, in case already not considered, within a period of three months from today.

Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and to consider and decide the applications dated 15.05.2017 (Annexure P-10 to P-14) within a period of three months from today.

It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the said applications and the same would be considered by respondents No.2 and 3 independently, in accordance with law.

Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the above-said order.

February 24, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No