

CWP-12369-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.03.2023

Parveen Rani**....Petitioner****Vs.****State of Haryana and others****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present: Mr. Salinder Kumar Saini, Advocate for
Mr. K.K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG. Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR,J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to accept the application form of the petitioner for the post of Fire Operator-cum-Driver and to let her participate in the recruitment process.

In brief, the facts as stated are that respondent No.3 issued advertisement dated 28.03.2018 (Annexure P-1), inviting online applications for direct recruitment on 1646 posts of Fire Operator-cum-Driver in the respondent-Department. The last date for submission of online application was 06.05.2018. The petitioner herein having the necessary qualification tried to register herself on the website and she was allotted Login ID 218030927. However, her online application form was not accepted.

Aggrieved against the fact that she being eligible was suffering on account of a computer glitch, approached the High Court with the prayer that her application be accepted.

Notice of motion was issued in this writ petition on 16.05.2018.

Learned State counsel would contend that the very advertisement stands withdrawn on 12.01.2022 and a fresh advertisement has been issued in January, 2023 itself.

Learned counsel appearing for Mr. K.K. Saini, Advocate pleads no instructions in this matter and seeks time to place certain documents on record.

However, in view of the fact that the limited prayer in the writ petition was for acceptance of the application of the petitioner and to allow her to participate in the selection process, which ensued pursuant to the advertisement issued and that the very advertisement stands withdrawn, this Court is of the opinion that the writ petition has rendered infructuous.

In case, the petitioner herein has any other grievance, she would be at liberty to approach the Court afresh.

Dismissed as infructuous.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

16.03.2023
kanika

Whether Speaking	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No