

234

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14011-2017

Date of decision : 14.03.2023

Jagga Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. Ajay Beniwal, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ/direction order in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 01.06.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.1 vide which order (Annexure P-2) has been set aside and the matter has been remanded back.

Learned State Counsel has submitted that after remand also, respondent No.4 has again been removed as Sarpanch vide order dated 26.10.2017 and the tenure of respondent No.4 has also elapsed and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner in order to rebut the abovesaid statement. Even on 14.08.2018, 04.02.2019, 09.08.2019, 12.03.2020 and on 28.07.2022 also, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to file an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives to the petitioner.

14.03.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No****Whether reportable:- Yes/No**