

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(294)

CRM-M-32822-2023
Date of decision:- 05.12.2023
...Petitioner

Pardeep @ Nagad

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking grant of post-arrest bail in:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
11	31.01.2022	Sector 11, Chandigarh	392 and 34, IPC (Sections 397, 201 and 411, IPC were added later on)

2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Similar was the position on the previous occasion.

4. Custody Certificate dated 05.12.2023 has been filed by the State, which is taken on record.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has placed on record a copy of interim order dated 29.11.2023 passed by the Trial Court to submit that prosecution has closed its evidence and after recording of the statement of accused under Section 313, Cr.P.C., proceedings are fixed for 18.12.2023 for remaining defence evidence, if any, as well as for arguments.

6. A perusal of the order shows that the trial is at its fag end.

7. Noticing the advanced stage of the trial, this Court is not inclined to accept the prayer made in the petition.

8. Petition is dismissed.

05.12.2023

Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No