

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

236

CWP-16980-2020 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 12.09.2023.

NARINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Mahipal Singh Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1, 6 and 8 with
Mr. Ajoy Sharma, Secy. Local Bodies and
Mr. Malvinder Singh Jaggi, CEO.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate, and
Mr. Sarthak Soni, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 4.

Mr. Lokesh Jain, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate,
for respondent No.9.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

CM-15316-CWP-2023

The instant application has been filed under Section 151 of the
Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for placing on record the copy of
License/Approval to develop the colony, letter for issuance of completion

certificate and letter written by Deputy Commissioner, Malerkotla, to SSP, Malerkotla, as Annexures R-9/4 to R-9/6.

The application is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Annexures R-9/4 to R-9/6 are taken on record.

The Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

CM-15317-CWP-2023

Learned counsel for applicant-respondent No.9 does not press the present application.

Disposed of as not pressed.

Main case

Prayer in the instant writ petition is for issuance of directions to the respondents Department to complete the work of installing/laying down the sewerage and water supply pipelines which the private respondent was alleged to be not permitting the respondent authorities from laying.

Pursuant to the interim order dated 16.08.2023, the Secretary Local Bodies Punjab as well as the Chief Executive Officer of the Punjab Water Supply and Sewerage Board are present in the Court. They have informed that the grievance stands already redressed and that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, feigns ignorance about the same.

Be that as it may, considering that an affirmative statement has been made at Bar today by the counsel on instructions from the officer who are present in the Court, I find no reason to disbelieve the aforesaid statement. The present petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. The petitioners shall, however, be at liberty to seek

revival of the present writ petition in case the statement is found to be incorrect.

September 12, 2023.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No