

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CWP-13887-2017(O&M)
Date of Decision:-16.08.2023

ARJUN AND ORS.

...Petitioners

Versus

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Y.P. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Parit Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 11.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners No.1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 have already been promoted and this fact has also been corroborated by learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.5 to 11. Petitioner No.8 is stated to have been passed away and in that eventuality, the present petition does not survive any further.

In the light of aforesaid facts and circumstances, this petition is ordered to be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, the petitioners would be at liberty to raise any consequential relief/claim to which they are legally entitled, which shall be considered and decided by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

16.08.2023
P.Bhatt

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No