

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

Civil Writ Petition No.16723 of 2022  
Date of Decision: February 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2023

Manu Miglani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Tushar Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

In compliance with the order passed by this Court on 16.02.2023, the Chief Town Planner, H.S.V.P., Panchkula, is present in Court and has explained the position with regard to the non-issuance of instructions on the earlier occasion and has also explained the position as exists at the site. The site plan has been produced to depict the location of the showrooms which have been allotted to the petitioner as well as the DSS.

2. Counsel for the respondents has informed the Court that there is lessening of the pavement by 1.28 metres. He contends that no assurance was ever given to that effect and as per the conditions, it was clearly mentioned that the dimensions can vary at the spot when actual physical possession is to be given. He on this basis asserts that the petitioner cannot make a grievance in this regard. That apart, it has been asserted that the petitioner is not adversely affected by the DSS to be constructed.

3. We are satisfied with the position as has been explained by the Chief Town Planner with regard to the factual position at the spot.

4. In view of the above, the present petition do not call for any further orders. The same, therefore, stands disposed of.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE**

**February 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2023**  
*Puneet*

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No