

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-1936-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.04.2023**

Satbir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Pradeep Kumar and Another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Mehta, Advocate
for the respondents.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The situation was not different even on the last date of hearing.

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 17.11.2020 vide which while disposing of the writ petition, it was directed that if there is no impediment to hand over the actual physical possession, the respondents will take a decision on refund of the extension fee charged by them whereby it is not possible to hand over the actual physical possession.

Counsel for the respondents has filed the affidavit of the Administrator, HSVP, Rohtak, in which it is stated that 06 marla plot was allotted to the petitioner and an offer of possession was issued on 28.08.2015 on completing the development work, however later on, a proposal for shifting of the complete row of the block including the plot, in question was approved by the competent authority i.e. Chief

Administrator, HSVP on 18.01.2023 and on the basis of the revised demarcation/renumbering of the plot in Sector 11, Bahadurgarh, the actual physical possession of the plot will be handed over to the petitioner within next 03 months.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive this petition, if so advised.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

25.04.2023
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No