

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(215)

CRM No. M-32432-2023

Date of Decision : 14.07.2023

Sudesh Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Premjit Singh Hundal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Durga Dutt Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Kumar Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 103 dated
03.05.2022, under Sections 302, 307, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 450, 326, 323,
324 IPC added later on) at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar
(Punjab).

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submits that as the material witnesses i.e. parents of the deceased, who
alleged to have witnessed the crime, are yet to be examined, the present
petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further but
direction be issued to the trial court to examine these two witnesses first so
that the petitioner could avail his remedy seeking the concession of regular
bail.

Learned State counsel does not have any objection in case, the

two witnesses i.e. the parents of the deceased are examined first.

Though, the present petition qua the prayer of the regular bail is disposed of having been not pressed but the trial court is directed that two witnesses i.e. the parents of the deceased, who have alleged to have witnessed the occurrence, are examined first. The trial court is requested to make all the endeavours to record their testimony within a period of three months from the next date of hearing before the trial court by securing their presence in accordance with law.

Petition is disposed of in above terms.

July 14, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No