

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11948-2018

Date of decision : 03.05.2023

Naresh Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate and
Mr. Bikramjit S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

As per the counsel representing the petitioners, the present writ petition was preferred in the apprehension that the petitioners may not be replaced by another set of contractual employees. However, during the pendency of the writ petition, the petitioners have been allowed to continue which in principal allays the fear of the petitioners. Mr. Tinna, however, submits that the continuation of the petitioners and order passed by this Court may not be treated as a bar on the right of the employer- Municipal Council to make regular recruitment.

2. In view of the aforesaid, the present writ petition is disposed off having been rendered infructuous.

3. It is clarified that in case the Municipal Council wishes to make regular recruitment, the present order would not be an impediment in any manner.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

03.05.2023
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No