

263

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17466-2022

Date of decision: 25.05.2023

Khushpreet Kaur (minor) through her father and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Supneet Singh, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to correct the marks of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 has submitted that as far as petitioner No.1 is concerned, marks have been corrected and thus, the present writ petition qua petitioner No.1 has been rendered infructuous. It is further submitted that as far as petitioner No.2 is concerned, a speaking order has been passed by respondent No.1-Board on 07.03.2023, a copy of the same has been handed over to the Court during the course of arguments which is taken on record and marked as Mark 'A'

and as per the said speaking order, plea of petitioner No.2 has been rejected.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2, the present Civil Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

4. In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. Liberty is, however, granted to petitioner No.2 to challenge the order dated 07.03.2023, in accordance with law, if so advised.

25.05.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No