

220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32068-2020

Date of Decision: 24.02.2023

Lakhwinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is direction to the respondents to provide immediate protection to the petitioner and not to implicate the petitioner in any false case as was done earlier and to take appropriate action against Jarnail Singh, Jagtar Singh, Harpreet Singh Sidhu, SP (D) Sangrur for harassing the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is being harassed by the State authorities at the behest of one Jarnail Singh. He fairly submits that though Jarnail Singh has not been impleaded in this case as respondent, however, he has submitted that time and again frivolous cases are being filed against him at the behest of Jarnail Singh.

Notice was issued to the State and reply by way of affidavit of Parminder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Dhuri, District Sangrur dated 04.04.2022 has been filed.

Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner filed a representation, which was duly enquired into and the allegations made by him were found to be false.

However, after hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to take into consideration the representation (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner and pass a fresh order on the same keeping in view the allegations made by the petitioner within a period of one month from today.

24.02.2023

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No