

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

245

CRA-S-1816-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.07.2023

RAVINDER SINGH GILL ALIAS RAVI ...Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. T.S. Grewal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Hakam Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Kaler, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present appeal is to the order dated 22.06.2023 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Sangrur, vide which application filed by the appellant seeking regular bail in case bearing FIR No.64 dated 22.03.2023, under Sections 307, 459, 323, 325, 506 and 34 IPC and Sections 3/4 of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, and Sections 25, 27, 29 and 30 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City-I, Sangrur, has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that the appellant has falsely been implicated in the present case; that the appellant has been in custody since 09.05.2023; that co-accused, namely, Achhra Singh has since been granted the concession of regular bail by a Coordinate Bench, vide order dated 06.06.2023 passed in CRA-S-1636-2023; that allegedly, three blunt injuries have been attributed to the appellant on the person of Pargat Singh i.e. on the left knee, second on the wrist of the right hand and third on lower

portion of right leg; that similarly placed co-accused Karamjit Singh has also been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 28.07.2023 passed in CRA-S-2012-2023 and he seeks parity with him.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant, submit that the appellant has inflicted three injuries on the person of Pargat Singh, who is a retired teacher and he has suffered 07 fractures and thus, the appellant does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the documents on record.

Learned counsel for the appellant is seeking parity with similarly placed co-accused Karamjit Singh, who has also been attributed three injuries on the person of Pargat Singh and has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 28.07.2023 passed in CRA-S-2012-2023 and the injuries attributed to the appellant are not on the vital part and he has been in custody since 09.05.2023. Co-accused, namely, Achhra Singh has also been granted the concession of regular bail by a Coordinate Bench, vide order dated 06.06.2023 passed in CRA-S-1636-2023.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the appeal is allowed and the appellant is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

31.07.2023
Mangal Singh

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No