

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34323-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.10.2023

SHIVANI GROVER

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has referred to the order dated 18.09.2023 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, in revision petition No. *CRR-6721-2022* titled '*Gurmeet Singh Versus Veena Grover and others*'. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 further submits that while allowing the revision petition, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, has set aside the order of summoning dated 21.01.2020 against respondents Veena Grover and others. This order is effective qua the petitioner as well as learned trial Court has been directed to decide on the question of summoning fresh. It is specifically been remarked in the said order that all accused will be at the same footing.

2.
- Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner has given statement that in view of statement of learned counsel for respondent No.2, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous, as such, he does not want to press the present petition at this stage, however, with liberty to file the same afresh, if so required in future at appropriate stage.
3.
- Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed with aforesaid liberty.
4.
- Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

04.10.2023
kanika

- i)

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii)

Whether reportable?

Yes/No