

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M-33346-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.05.2023

Harinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Muthreja, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.243 dated 28.11.2021, registered at Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur, under Sections 307, 395, 458, 323, 148 and 149 IPC (Section 325 IPC added and Sections 395 and 458 deleted later on).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 01.08.2022 passed by this Court, interim protection was granted to the petitioner; that the allegations against the petitioner are that he has given one iron rod blow on the head of the complainant, one blow on the back of Sunil Kumar, one blow on the right arm of Ashok Kumar and one blow on the face of Pawan Kumar; that the above-noted FIR was registered on 28.11.2021, but vide zimni dated 10.05.2022, Sections 395 and 458 IPC had been deleted in the present case, and that Sharanpreet Singh against whom allegations of inflicting injury and snatching of Rs.33,000/- were levelled, was declared innocent, during investigation.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant, while opposing the prayer of the petitioner, submit that the petitioner had given repeated iron rod blows on the person of the complainant, Sunil Kumar, Ashok Kumar and Pawan Kumar. It is further submitted that though vide zimni dated 10.05.2022, Sections 395 and 458 IPC were deleted, yet the challan was presented under Sections 307, 395, 458, 325, 323, 148 and 149 of the IPC and the charges have also been framed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Though the interim protection was granted to the petitioner vide order dated 01.08.2022 passed by this Court, yet keeping in view of the fact that repeated iron rod blows were given by the petitioner on the persons of complainant-Dharampal, Sunil Kumar, Ashok Kumar and Pawan Kumar and moreover, the charges have also been framed, no case is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

26.05.2023
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No