

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-31616-2023
Date of decision: 18.09.2023

Hardeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner

ASI Manoj Kumar in person

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. On 05.07.2023, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 292 dated 08.05.2023, registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Shahbad, District Kurkshetra.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is not involved in the business of Visa for any country and has been falsely implicated in the case. There is a compromise that has been arrived at between the parties on 11.06.2023, Annexure P-3. Co-accused Pankaj Goel has been granted regular bail vide order dated 15.06.2023, Annexure P-4 wherein the statement of the complainant stands recorded as regards the compromise and the receipt of the amount. He is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion for 18.09.2023.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 12.07.2023. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

2. ASI Manoj Kumar submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 05.07.2023 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
4. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

18.09.2023
Mehak

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No