

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-32147-2023

Date of Decision:-28.08.2023

Tajinder Pal Singh @ Sher Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Ms. Komal Preet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

On 17.07.2023 the following order was passed:-

“ The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for the quashing of the order dated 12.04.2023 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar (Annexure P-2) vide which the granting bail to the petitioner has been cancelled and bail bonds and surety bonds were forfeited to the State in FIR No.195 dated 31.10.2017 registered under Section 392 IPC at Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on account of noting down of wrong date, the petitioner was unable to appear on one date i.e. 12.04.2023 because of which the impugned order came to be passed. He states that he is ready and willing to join proceedings once again.

Notice of motion for 28.08.2023.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of one week from today subject to a deposit of Rs.25,000/- as costs with the Chandi Kusht Ashram

Society, Shiv Ram Mandir (Leprosy Colony), Sector 47, Opp. 3 BRD Gate, Chandigarh and on his doing he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

Thereafter on 11.08.2023 the following order was passed:-

“ The present application has been filed by the applicant/petitioner for extension of time to surrender and deposit Rs.25,000/- in the Chandi Kusht Ashram Society Shiv Mandir (Leprosy Colony), Sector 47, Opp. 3 BRD Gate, Chandigarh in compliance of the order dated 17.07.2023 passed by this Court.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and one week’s time is granted to the applicant/petitioner to comply with the order dated 17.07.2023.”

The Counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the aforementioned order the petitioner has surrendered and has since been granted the concession of interim bail. He has also deposited the costs as imposed by this Court vide order dated 17.07.2023.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

Disposed of.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall continue to appear before the Trial Court and shall not absent himself without any sufficient cause.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

August 28, 2023
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>