

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP-14401-2023

Date of Decision : 18.07.2023

GRAM PANCHAYAT RAJGARH DHOBI

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. Since the order of eviction as made against the present petitioner by the Appellate Authority concerned, has been challenged before the Revisional Court concerned, but since the said revision petition is yet subjudice before the learned Revisional Court concerned, therefore, until a decision is made thereons by the learned Revisional Court concerned, thereupon the instant petition seeking annulment of Annexure P-8 is not maintainable at this stage.

2. However, in the larger interest of justice, the Revisional Court concerned, is directed to within a period of three months from today, make a lawful speaking decision on the relevant revision petition and if the petitioner forthwith institutes an application seeking stay of Annexure P-8, but if not already instituted, thereupon, a valid speaking decision shall also

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied by the making(s) of the above order and thus seeks leave to withdraw the above petition.
4. Leave granted.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

18.07.2023
kavneet singh

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No