

236-3

2023:PHHC:125235

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-13968-2023

Date of decision : 22.09.2023

Shivam Singla

... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Sumeet Jain, Addiotnal Standing Counsel
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the the press note (Annexure P-3) and prospectus (Annexure P-4) issued by respondent no.2.

2. Learned counsel for the U.T. Chandigarh has submitted that the petitioner has not been allotted a seat in the second counseling and has not applied in the third counseling and apparently he is not interested in pursuing the present case.

2. No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition in case any cause still survives.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

September 22, 2023.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No