IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CRM-M-34401-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-24.02.2023.

Satpal Singh

……Petitioner

Versus

Parmjit Kaur ……Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

****

Present: Mr. K.P. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

****

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

CRM-45703-2022

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexure P-8 is taken on record.

CRM-M-34401-2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued and submits that the impugned order has been passed wrongly by taking the monthly income of the petitioner Rs. 18,000/- per month by plying Mahindra Maximo Passenger Car.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly submitted that the petitioner has not been able to clear the outstanding amount. After considering the matter in his entirety and in fact, the impugned order was only *qua* the interim maintenance and the main matter is yet not finalised.

Finding, no merit in the present petition, the same stands dismissed.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

February 24, 2023.
Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether Reportable:- Yes/No

PARUL
2023.02.28 10:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document