

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

**CWP-11324-2018
Date of Decision: 19.09.2023**

MANJIT SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. F.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to the respondents to take action against the erring officials who are alleged to have been found guilty by the Vigilance Bureau.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.3 had been filed on 24.07.2018 wherein it had been informed that a case FIR No.88 dated 18.03.2014 had been registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC at Police Station Tripuri, District Patiala.

Short reply on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 4 had also been filed on 11.09.2019 reiterating the above fact and also pointing out that the petitioner is an accused in the said case and that he is making complaints and filing writ petition out of sheer vendetta. It is further informed that departmental action had been taken against M.V. Inspector, Junior Assistance and the concerned Clerk and during the course of departmental proceedings, the allegations levelled against them were not substantiated and the same were accordingly dropped by the Competent Authority vide order dated 18.10.2018.

Despite a lapse of more than five years, no replication/rejoinder has been filed by the petitioner to the above replies.

However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

SEPTEMBER 19, 2023
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No