

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(241)

CWP-18231-2019

Date of decision: - 23.01.2023

Mam Chand and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, Advocate, for respondents No.5 & 6.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated 26.04.2011 (Annexure P-5) whereby the objection on the mode of partition has been accepted and further the challenge has also been to the order dated 09.08.2011 (Annexure P-7); order dated 05.09.2012 (Annexure P-8); order dated 13.10.2016 (Annexure P-9); and order dated 29.10.2018 (Annexure P-13).

On 26.05.2022, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

*“Present:- None for the petitioners.  
Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana  
Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, Advocate, for respondents  
No.5 & 6.*

\*\*\*\*\*

*Office report indicates that respondents No.7, 8, 9 and 11(i) are not residing at the given address and respondent No.10 (ii) has died.*

*Adjourned to 23.01.2023 to enable learned counsel for the petitioners to take appropriate steps.*

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**26.05.2022”**

Today, the case has been called twice, however, neither any one has appeared on behalf of the petitioners nor the above-said order dated 26.05.2022 has been complied with.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**( VIKAS BAHL )**  
**JUDGE**

**January 23, 2023**  
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No